

**Approved Minutes
Open Session
Of the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Princeton
January 25, 2011
Regular Meeting
Closed Session 6:30 P.M.
Open Session 7:30 P.M.**

Present: Council President Kevin Wilkes, Councilwoman Jo Butler,
Councilwoman Jenny Crumiller, Councilman David Goldfarb,
Councilman Roger Martindell, Councilwoman Barbara Trelstad, Mayor
Mildred Trotman

Absent: None

Staff Present: Borough Administrator Robert W. Bruschi, Police Chief David J. Dudeck,
Recreation Assistant Director Theodore Ernst, Borough Clerk Andrea Lea
Quinty

Mayor Trotman called the meeting to order at 6:30 P.M. and read the open public meetings statement as follows:

“This meeting is called to order pursuant to the provisions of the Open Public Meetings Law. This meeting of January 25, 2011, was included in a list of meetings sent to and advertised in the Princeton Packet and Trenton Times, posted on the bulletin board in the Borough of Princeton municipal building and has remained continuously posted as the required notices under the statute. In addition a copy of this notice is and has been available to the public and is on file in the Office of the Borough Clerk. Proper notice having been given, the Borough Clerk is directed to include this statement in the minutes of this meeting.”

Mayor Trotman read **Agenda Item C, Resolution 2011-R32**, as follows:

**RESOLUTION 2011-R32
OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF PRINCETON
INTO CLOSED SESSION ON JANUARY 25, 2011**

WHEREAS, the Open Public Meetings Act, P.L. 1975, Chapter 231 permits the exclusion of the public forum from a meeting in certain circumstances; and

WHEREAS, this public body is of the opinion that such circumstances may presently exist; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Body wishes to discuss the following issues:

1. Personnel
2. Negotiations — NHKT
3. Negotiations — Princeton University

WHEREAS, minutes will be kept and once the matter involving confidentiality of the above no longer requires that confidentiality, then minutes can be made public; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the public be excluded from this meeting.

January 25, 2011

Councilwoman Trelstad moved to approve; Council President Wilkes seconded. Council members Butler, Crumiller, Goldfarb, Martindell, Trelstad, and Wilkes voted in the affirmative. Hearing no nay votes, Mayor Trotman proclaimed the resolution approved.

Borough Council recessed into closed session.

At 7:30 P.M. Council reconvened into open session.

Mayor Trotman asked all present to rise for **Agenda Item D, Salute to the Flag.**

Mayor Trotman read **Agenda Item E, Approval of Minutes.**

Presented for approval were the minutes of the open session of January 4, 2011.

Councilwoman Trelstad moved to approve the minutes of the open session of January 4, 2011, Councilman Martindell seconded, and Council approved unanimously.

Mayor Trotman read **Agenda Item F, Public Presentation**, and asked if anyone present wished to address Council with an issue not on the agenda.

There were no public presentations.

Mayor Trotman announced a meeting at 7:30 P.M. tomorrow, January 26, 2011, of the Consolidated Shared Services Commission at the John Witherspoon School. Mayor Trotman invited everyone to attend to hear details from the consultant and commissioners on the baseline report.

Seeing no one from the audience, Mayor Trotman closed the public portion.

Mayor Trotman read **Agenda Item G, Report (1) — Monthly Police Report — David J. Dudeck, Chief of Police.**

**RESOLUTION 2011-R33
OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL
OF THE BOROUGH OF PRINCETON
APPROVING THE MONTHLY CHIEF OF POLICE REPORT**

WHEREAS, David Dudeck, Chief of Police of the Borough of Princeton prepared a comprehensive report detailing the police activity for the month of November 2010; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council reviewed said report at their Regular Meeting attended by Police Chief Dudeck and made various inquiries.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Council hereby accept the November 2010 Monthly police report prepared by the Borough Police Administration.

Chief Dudeck noted that the annual internal affairs statistical summary is included with the November 2010 report. Councilman Goldfarb asked the difference—from the officer’s point of view—between “exonerated” and “not sustained.” Chief Dudeck said *not sustained* indicates lack of evidence, while *exonerated*—preferable for the officer—shows a preponderance of proof

leading to a decision. Councilman Martindell asked if Public Safety Committee (PSC) reviewed cases with a view to policy issues. Chief Dudeck answered that the cases were minor disciplinary matters with no policy aspects involved.

Chief Dudeck said the topic of officers' *time per activity* was postponed to the next PSC session (February 2011).

Council President Wilkes announced that PSC has been examining model alarm ordinances from communities throughout New Jersey and looking to phrase a unique Borough alarm ordinance. (PSC action expected February 2011.) Borough Administrator Robert Bruschi declared that Fire Official William Drake is also participating in drafting an alarm ordinance to be presented to Council in March 2011.

Councilman Martindell requested cost details of the Sgt. Riley case. Mr. Bruschi thought final numbers will be available soon.

Council President Wilkes asked if 14 underage intoxication cases during November was an escalation. Chief Dudeck replied that the arrest trend is flat. Chief Dudeck said reticence from eating clubs prevents identifying culprits; however, Princeton University (PU) cooperates with Borough police as best it can.

Council President Wilkes moved to accept the police report, Councilwoman Trelstad seconded, and Council accepted unanimously.

Mayor Trotman read **Agenda Item H, Discussion (1) — Community Park Pool**

- a) Princeton Recreation Department (PRD) Overview** — *Tom Zukowski*;
- b) Status Report** – *Deanna Stockton, Engineering Department*.

Mayor Trotman explained the procedure for tonight's discussion of the community pool bond ordinance:

1. Overview from PRD
2. Status report (since August 2010) from representative of community group/joint recreation board/planning board
3. Mayor and Council question-and-answer session
4. Public commentary (*not* to be a dialogue).

Mr. Zukowski, PRD member, stated that the cost of the pool renovation design has been reduced from \$8.7 million to \$5.5 million. He said the present pools are in such disrepair that Borough is vulnerable to compliance charges on health and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) issues.

Mr. Zukowski listed reasons for declining pool membership: (1) shade, (2) activities and toys for teens, (3) transition pool between wading and lap, (4) seating. Mr. Zukowski presented statistics from renovated pool complexes in Ohio that prove increased membership and revenues after improvements.

Mr. Zukowski mentioned some inadequacies with the current pool compound: (1) water circulation, (2) management office, (3) decking, (4) coping lip of filtration system, (5) electrical system, and (6) ADA regulations. Community Pool is in jeopardy of being shut down.

Mr. Zukowski's group surveyed pool users and interested community parties; he repeated their prevalent requests as follows:

1. Keep basic configuration (50-meter main pool)
2. Aesthetic parkland
3. Not an amusement/water park
4. Green technology.

Community requests led to development goals of a swim complex that is self-supporting, with a 40-year service life, reduced footprint (equaling greater green space), and building simplicity. Design specifics include 50-meter by 25-yard main pool, transitional pool sizing, attractions for 'tween and teen populations, family areas, ADA and health access and code compliance, and competitive events.

Mr. Zukowski said it was important to provide uninterrupted pool access by (1) avoiding an "incident" that might mean closing before renovations are complete and (2) scheduling construction during the off-season.

With a slide show, Mr. Zukowski demonstrated amenities described, including transition pools, parental observation seating areas, and so forth.

Noting a lack of comment to date from the town's young people, Mr. Zukowski asked the kids in the audience if they approved the design presented. He received a resounding, "Yes!"

Mr. Zukowski introduced Deanna Stockton who presented a timeline of pool planning meetings, with minutes to be distributed to the audience. Ms. Stockton showed slides and described the latest design features for the complex; in particular amenities for sporting events (such as water polo, races, diving competitions) and architectural deviations from previous.

Ms. Stockton offered a complete list of bid alternates. The *ad hoc* board will recommend options to the governing bodies dependent on cost/benefit analysis. To meet construction goals, bid requests must begin May 2011.

Ben Hembeke, Integrated Aquatics Engineering, discussed pool construction materials, that (over the life of the pool including maintenance) all cost about the same.

- **Concrete** covered with plaster requiring resurfacing every 10 - 15 years
- **Myrtha**—stainless steel finished with PVC in an autoclave—lasts indefinitely (historical proof to date, 40 years) with next-to-no maintenance
- **Stainless steel** with concrete floor ("hybrid") requires some maintenance for rust pockets and annual sealing of floor.

Mr. Hembeke's first choice is Myrtha; *initial* cost for concrete about 10% less and hybrid about 20% less. Straight stainless steel with stainless steel floor—useful when transporting materials and the finished weight are considerations—costs more than double.

Councilwoman Trelstad asked about staged scheduling—that is, phasing—as discussed in the PRD master plan. Ted Ernst, Recreation Assistant Director responded that phasing requires re-mobilization, costing as much as 30% more. From a practical construction perspective, only the baby pool could be phased.

Ms. Stockton expressed hope that, with introduction of Ordinance 2011-01 today, final concepts for buildings *and* site will be developed within one week to meet the May bidding deadline.

Councilman Goldfarb suggested funding—for \$100,000 perhaps—temporary work such as concept sketches. Mr. Bruschi stated that a short-term commitment of \$100,000 is available in capital surplus. Mr. Bruschi requested introduction of Ordinance 2011-01 for the maximum amount while Council develops a bid menu during the 45-day ordinance waiting period.

Mr. Bruschi recommended a scope of \$1.5 to \$2 million for Ordinance 2011-01, which will easily fit into the debt management plan.

Councilman Martindell said it is proper to review the overall debt management plan prior to endorsing Ordinance 2011-01.

Mayor Trotman opened the discussion to the public.

Peter O'Neil, Princeton Parks and Recreation Fund Board of Advisors, referred to both governments' expectation that non-public money will be raised to fund the pool complex *renovation*. He said the Fund will probably not be able to support a pool *rehabilitation*. He pled for everyone to join together to make the pool a reality.

Lance Liverman, Township Committee, warned against being “pound wise, penny foolish.”

Ronald Berlin, Jefferson Road in the Township, wanted to keep faith with the September 2010 Statement of Principles from the *ad hoc* committee. Mr. Berlin supplied an element of the public survey request: That the buildings be kept simple—rustic and camp-like pavilions.

Township Mayor Goerner suggested a designated subcommittee of Council and Committee to work through the bid process.

Phyllis Marchand, Township resident and former mayor, recited her delightful personal history with Community Park Pool; she hoped for the same for current young families. She suggested adding a community room to the building design so the complex might be used year-round.

Ellen Randall, Township resident, stated that goals and principles for pool restoration should come from the community; many find the best answer to be “fix only what you have to.” She said the decision-makers need guidelines on what the community really wants. Ms. Randall

January 25, 2011

stated concern about liability (safety) issues. She thought Borough should consult a pool *designer*, not manufacturer.

Henry Singer, Laurel Circle in the Township, when a youth in South Orange, had experienced the closing of his public pool for a season—a situation he wanted to avoid by prompt funding.

Yina Moore, 19 Green Street, reviewed many points of the evolution of proposed designs and construction methods. She brought in Corner House as a possible candidate to occupy office space in buildings in the complex. Ms. Moore discussed structure, location, and use of every existing feature if the complex is *restored*.

Leah Kratser, 215 Mount Lucas Road in the Township, supported the pool design and implored Council to introduce Ordinance 2011-01.

Michael Landau, 10 Patton Avenue, member of the *ad hoc* committee, defended the committee's work that was based on dialogue, rational decisions, and compromise. He hoped to see the bond issue introduced, noting there will be many options still open to debate for cost reduction.

Suki Wasserman, 160 Meadow Brook Drive in the Township, identified herself as the model consumer of the pool complex—that is, a mom with young children who use both pools. She approved of all designs presented. The pool is her family's "town center."

Chrystal Shival, Township resident, said it is time to stop talking, time to present a design for bid. She thought actual bids would help finalize decisions as to materials and so forth.

Lydia Frank, 41 Riverside Drive, observed the "really pleasant feeling" to the pool; she feared that feeling may not remain with renovation.

Mickey Mendelsohn, Township resident and 20-year pool member, wanted Council to address the camp associated with the pool.

Walter Frank had noticed the absence of teens and 'tweens; he thought the community really does want a transition pool (though costly). He said it is important to keep funding alive. Mr. Frank was for moving ahead as expeditiously and thoughtfully as possible.

Seeing no one further from the audience, Mayor Trotman closed the public commentary portion.

Looking at pool depth as it pertains to safety, Ms. Stockton clarified that depth greater than five feet requires a rope demarcation--problematic for swim practices and meets--and sloping floor to a standing area.

Mayor Trotman read by title **Agenda Item I, New Business (1) — Introduction and Order to Publish: Ordinance 2011-01 BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR INSTALLATION OF A NEW POOL IN AND BY THE BOROUGH OF PRINCETON, IN THE COUNTY OF MERCER, NEW JERSEY, APPROPRIATING THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF \$2,053,500**

THEREFOR AND AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF \$1,950,825 BONDS OR NOTES OF THE BOROUGH TO FINANCE PART OF THE COST THEREOF.

Councilwoman Butler moved to introduce Ordinance 2011-01; Councilwoman Crumiller seconded.

Councilman Martindell supported pool repair and redevelopment; also many design goals and changes to meet expectations. He was concerned that increased fees might become counterproductive to increased membership expected to be generated by a superior facility.

Councilman Martindell proposed a different form of ordinance that would authorize \$1 million with a pledge to approve a subsequent ordinance to complete Borough's financial commitment. During the intervening period in the two-step ordinance process, Council could scrutinize Borough's debt management plan in conjunction with full funding of the pool project. Councilman Martindell also wanted Council to study the PRD revolving fund and fee structure.

Council President Wilkes summarized estimated expense variations:

- \$3.1 million for **pool** rebuild—hybrid
- \$3.9 million for **pool** rebuild—concrete
- \$1.6 million for **pool** repair
- \$1.9 million for new **building**
- \$1.3 million for new/renew **building**
- \$0.7 million for **building** repair
- \$0.4 million for **site** work
- Profit, overhead, contingency

Council President Wilkes commended Mr. Bruschi's concept to fund the project to biddable state at, say, \$100,000.

Regarding debt management, Council President Wilkes cited a worst-case scenario: PU does not renew its agreement for voluntary payments to Borough. Council President Wilkes said an examination of debt management is *critical* and Councilman Martindell's idea to introduce Ordinance 2011-01 with a lower value (with a pledge to meet final bid later) makes sense.

Councilwoman Trelstad agreed with Councilman Martindell's suggestion. Councilwoman Trelstad has been encouraged, by colleagues and constituents, to proceed cautiously. Councilwoman was concerned with regard to a potential increase for users of the pool.

Councilman Goldfarb recommended *introducing* Ordinance 2011-01 at its highest possible value; *adoption*, requiring a two-thirds Council vote (four members), will finalize the amount appropriately. Councilman Goldfarb mentioned delays associated with endorsing a companion ordinance to Ordinance 2011-01.

Councilwoman Crumiller thought Council could accomplish all: Timely review of debt management, revolving fund, and so forth, *and* introduce Ordinance 2011-01.

Councilwoman Butler was skeptical about withholding part of the pool commitment.

Mayor Trotman wished Council would introduce Ordinance 2011-01 and move forward. Mayor Trotman commented that the outcome of interim evaluations well might block final adoption. She saw no advantage to introducing Ordinance 2011-01 at a reduced amount.

Council established that final adoption of Ordinance 2011-01 can be deferred until bids have been analyzed.

Mr. Bruschi delineated the timing required by law for ordinance introduction and adoption. He pointed out that authorized but unnecessary funding can be vacated at any time.

Mayor Trotman read a letter from Freeholder and former Councilman Andrew Koontz sharing his thoughts on Ordinance 2011-01. He advised Council to authorize “funding at an amount that provides for the Borough’s entire share of a total project cost that can reasonably be expected to finance a new pool complex. Failing to introduce an ordinance or introducing an ordinance under some partial funding leaves the project in a continued state of limbo, where it has already remained for too long. . . .”

Mayor Trotman called for a roll call vote. Borough Clerk called the roll. Council members Butler, Crumiller, and Goldfarb voted aye. Council members Martindell, Trelstad, and Wilkes voted nay. Mayor Trotman noted a three-three tie and voted in favor of introduction. Mayor Trotman proclaimed Ordinance 2011-01 introduced with a public hearing to be held February 22, 2011.

Mayor Trotman read **Agenda Item I, New Business (2) — Resolution 2011-R34** as follows:

**RESOLUTION 2011-R34
OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL
OF THE BOROUGH OF PRINCETON
AUTHORIZING THE AWARD OF A NON-FAIR AND OPEN CONTRACT
FOR MUNICIPAL PROSECUTOR SERVICES
FOR THE BOROUGH PRINCETON**

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Princeton has a need to acquire legal services as a non-fair and open contract pursuant to the provisions of *N.J.S.A. 19:44A-20.4 or 20.5 as appropriate*; and,

WHEREAS, the Borough Administrator has determined and certified in writing that the value of the acquisition will exceed \$17,500; and,

WHEREAS, the anticipated term of this contract is one year; and

WHEREAS, W. Reed Gusciora has submitted a proposal indicating he will provide Municipal Prosecutor Services for the Borough of Princeton as per the fee schedule attached; and

WHEREAS, W. Reed Gusciora has completed and submitted a Business Entity Disclosure Certification which certifies that W. Reed Gusciora has not made any reportable contributions to a political or candidate committee in the Borough of Princeton in the previous one year, and that the contract will prohibit W. Reed Gusciora from making any reportable contributions through the term of the contract, and

January 25, 2011

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Princeton authorizes the Mayor and Borough Clerk to execute a contract with W. Reed Gusciora as described herein for an amount not to exceed \$37,200 for the period January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Business Disclosure Entity Certification and the Determination of Value be placed on file with this resolution; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a notice of this action shall be published in the Princeton Packet as required by law within ten (10) days of its passage.

Councilman Martindell moved to approve Resolution 2011-R34, Councilwoman Trelstad seconded, and Borough Council approved five to one; Councilman Goldfarb voted nay.

Mayor Trotman read **Agenda Item I, New Business (3-7) — Resolutions 2011-R35, 2011-R36, 2011-R37, 2011-R38, and 2011-R39** as follows:

**RESOLUTION 2011-R35
OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL
OF THE BOROUGH OF PRINCETON
AUTHORIZING THE AWARD OF A NON-FAIR AND OPEN CONTRACT
FOR MUNICIPAL PUBLIC DEFENDER SERVICES TO
MICHAEL T. BARRETT FOR YEAR 2011**

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Princeton has a need to acquire legal services as a non-fair and open contract pursuant to the provisions of *N.J.S.A. 19:44A-20.4 or 20.5 as appropriate*; and,

WHEREAS, the Borough Administrator has determined and certified in writing that the value of the acquisition will exceed \$17,500; and,

WHEREAS, the anticipated term of this contract is one year; and

WHEREAS, Michael T. Barrett, Esq., BERGMAN AND BARRETT has submitted a proposal indicating they will provide the municipal legal services as the Borough Prosecutor; and

WHEREAS, Michael T. Barrett, has completed and submitted a Business Entity Disclosure Certification which certifies that BERGMAN AND BARRETT has not made any reportable contributions to a political or candidate committee in the Borough of Princeton in the previous one year, and that the contract will prohibit BERGMAN AND BARRETT from making any reportable contributions through the term of the contract; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Princeton authorizes the Mayor and Borough Clerk to execute a contract with Michael T. Barrett, BERGMAN AND BARRETT as described herein for an amount not to exceed \$37,200 for the period January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Business Disclosure Entity Certification and the Determination of Value be placed on file with this resolution; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a notice of this action shall be published in the Princeton Packet as required by law within ten (10) days of its passage.

**RESOLUTION 2011-R36
OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL
OF THE BOROUGH OF PRINCETON
APPROVING THE PLACEMENT OF A BANNER AS REQUESTED
BY PRINCETON PUBLIC LIBRARY**

WHEREAS, the Princeton Public Library is co-sponsoring Princeton Pi Day a community wide event to be held on March 14, 2011 at the Princeton Public Library and various locations throughout town; and

WHEREAS, the Princeton Public Library has requested permission to install a banner over Washington Road in Princeton Borough with approval of the Office of Community and Regional Affairs at Princeton University beginning March 8 through March 15, 2011 to advertise this program to the entire Princeton Community; and

WHEREAS, this banner will be promptly removed after its use as required by Borough ordinances; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Borough Ordinance 3-17 any banner that is removed by the Borough will be held by the Borough for thirty (30) days and then disposed of; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Borough Ordinance 3-17 any applicant failing to remove a banner will not be permitted to display any banner for the succeeding two years; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Council hereby approve placement of said banner on Washington Road in accordance with Borough Ordinances 3-14-3-17.

**RESOLUTION 2011-R37
OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL
OF THE BOROUGH OF PRINCETON
AUTHORIZING THE BOROUGH TO ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT WITH WENDY
PETERSON OSBORN AND E.A. PROPERTIES, LLC.**

WHEREAS, the Borough Council desires to approve the attached lease agreement between the Borough of Princeton (the "Borough"), Wendy Peterson Osborn, and E.A. Properties LLC for the leasing of Lots 54 and 55 in Block 20.04 as designated on the Tax Map of Princeton Borough; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:60-25.2, the Borough may lease the property for purposes of making the same available to the public for the parking of passenger vehicles; and,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Princeton, as follows:

1. The Borough is authorized to enter into a lease agreement with Community Wendy Peterson Osborn, and E.A. Properties LLC pursuant to the terms contained therein, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

**RESOLUTION 2011-R38
OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL
OF THE BOROUGH OF PRINCETON
APPROVING FIRE DEPARTMENT APPLICATION FOR
ASSOCIATE MEMBER CHRISTIAN SHANNON**

WHEREAS, in February 2009 Borough Council approved an amendment to Articles III, IV and V of Chapter 14 of the Code of the Borough of Princeton which expanded the volunteer fire member classification to include Princeton University employees as Associate Members; and

WHEREAS, the membership applications have been reviewed by the appropriate municipal officers; and

WHEREAS, the following employee of Princeton University has met all requirements of Borough of Princeton Code of Laws, Chapter 14, Section 23, Section 25(a) through 25(g) as Associate Member of the PRINCETON FIRE DEPARTMENT:

CHRISTIAN S. SHANNON

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Princeton hereby approve the application for Associate Membership to the Office of the Borough Administrator, the Fire Chief, Princeton University and Fire Companies.

**RESOLUTION 2011-R39
OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL
OF THE BOROUGH OF PRINCETON**

**APPROVING THE 2011 COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
FOR A FOUR YEAR TERM**

NOW BE IT RESOLVED that those listed below are appointed to the Borough of Princeton committees for a four year term through January 1, 2015 as follows:

HISTORIC PRESERVATION REVIEW COMMITTEE

Jeanne Perantoni

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to each committee and the Office of the Municipal Clerk.

Councilwoman Trelstad moved to approve Resolutions 2011-R35, 2011-R36, 2011-R37, 2011-R38, and 2011-R30; Councilman Martindell seconded; Borough Council approved unanimously.

Mayor Trotman read **Agenda Item J, Bill List for January 25, 2011 — Resolution 2011-R40** as follows:

**RESOLUTION 2011-R40
OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE BOROUGH OF PRINCETON
APPROVING THE FEBRUARY 2011 BILL LIST**

WHEREAS, Finance Officer Sandra L. Webb has forwarded the bills received for payment by the Borough of Princeton for review and approval by the Mayor and Council; and

WHEREAS, the Borough Clerk has certified that the vouchers listed on the attached register are a follows:

CURRENT ACCOUNT (11-01)	1,849,499.73
RESERVE ACCOUNT (10-01)	128,095.15
PARKING UTILITY OPERATING FUND (11-05)	3,253.63
PARKING UTILITY OPERATING FUND (10-05)	18,248.13
AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPERATING ACCT (11-24)	12.76
AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPERATING ACCT (10-24)	2,520.70
IMPROVEMENT ASSESSMENT (11-11)	
CAPITAL ACCOUNT (C-04)	44,301.57
PARKING UTILITY CAPITAL FUND (P-06)	3,808.60
ESCROW (E-30)	
TRUST FUND (T-13)	126.72
GENERAL INSURANCE	
FLEXIBLE SPENDING FUND (11-22)	
MANUAL	3,815,129.63
ASSESSMENT TRUST FUND (11-11)	
GRANT (G-02)	231.00

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Princeton approve the bill list January 25, 2011 as presented.

Councilman Goldfarb moved to approve Resolution 2011-R40, Council President Wilkes seconded, and Council approved unanimously.

Councilwoman Trelstad moved to adjourn; Council President Wilkes seconded. There being no further business, Mayor Trotman adjourned the open session meeting at 11:16 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

January 25, 2011

Andrea Lea Quinty
Borough Clerk